

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Patent and Trademark Of United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Patent United States

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,432	12/04/2003	Ivan Sepetka	005-005-C1	8390
32746 HOEKENDIII	7590 08/22/2008 K & LYNCH, LLP		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 478	17		SEVERSO	N, RYAN J
BURLINGAN	IE, CA 94011-4787		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/729,432		SEPETKA ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ryan Severson	3731	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>22 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods:

a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NO	TICE	OF	APP	FAI

2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in compliance with 3	37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two months of the	e date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 4	1.37(a)), or any extension thereo	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the appe	al. Since a
	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any	reply must be filed within the time	e period set forth in 3	7 CFR 41.37(a).	

ΑN	EΝ	DM	EN.	TS

AWENDWENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔯 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 38-41,43 and 44.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27-37.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

Ш	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

чL	I he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 🔼	I he request for reconsideration has been considered but does No	JI place the application in condition for allowance because
	See Continuation Sheet.	
		100) D

12. Note the	attached Information	Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13. Other: _			

/Todd E Manahan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues Lau does not disclose "a cover for covering a neck of an aneutysm." However, cover it of Lau has been identified as the cover, thus meeting the limitations of the claims. The claims do not require any specific structure for the cover and the limitation for covering a neck of an aneutysm" is merely a functional limitation, and the cover of Lau is capable of being placed at the neck of an aneutysm to cover if.

Applicant argues Lau does not disclose a sheath folded over itself at the distal end of the sheath, but instead discloses the fold at the proximal end of the sheath. In one interpretation, the fold is at a distal end of the catheter, thus meeting the limitations of the claims because the claims do not require the fold to be at the distal end "of the sheath" but merely to be "at a distal end." Alternatively, the left hand side of the sheath in figures 16-18 of Lau can be interpreted as the distal end because the terms proximal and distal are terms of orientation, also meeting the limitations of the claims.

Applicant argues the Lau system requires pushing of the guidewire and the pushing "may" be less desirable and "may" tend to buckle when pushed. However, there is no support for this assertion in the specification of the Lau patent and therefore the argument appears to merely be conjecture on the part of the applicant. The claims require the sheath be pulled back and this limitation is met by pulling the sheath as shown in the progression of figures 16-18. There are no claim limitations drawn to pushing or pulling of a guidewire or drawn to the manner in which the sheath is pulled back, and therefore arguments to that effect are not persuasive.